## Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP84-00933R000500120012-3

## Proposed Agenda

DCI Meeting with DDCI, STAP, DDA and NFAC 10 April 1980

### CONCERNS REGARDING SAFE

- The SAFE User Community 1.
  - Funding and direction has covered DIA and CIA/NFAC.
  - Validated CIA/DIA requirements furnished to contractor.
  - Continuing dialog to ensure proper interpretation of needs.
- The SAFE System Defined 2.
  - In DIA, emphasis on maintenance of large encyclopedic files.
  - In CIA, emphasis on receipt of electrical traffic, building specialized files, access to centralized
  - Word processing and electronic coordination.
- DIA-CIA Interconnection
  - Access control and security problems.
- Open Source Material 4.
  - Indexing by production analysts with storage on paper or film (ADSTAR).
  - Commercial data bases.
  - | Wire Services.
- Identifying the Real Needs of the SAFE User 5.
  - Requirements collection. o
  - Interaction with users.
  - "Interim" SAFE.
  - Consolidated SAFE Requirements Document (CSRD).
- Evaluating the Current Status of SAFE 6.
  - 0 The CSRD.
  - Review, modification and validation of specifications.
  - User language development.

# Approved For Release 2001/07/12 : CIA-RDP84-00933R000500120012-3

#### STAP OPTIONS

- o Key Points
  - Augment in Parallel
  - Maintain Momentum but steer
  - Start other Planning Cycles
- Areas of Agreement
  - Needs in Project Management
  - Community Needs Definition Agency Level
  - Methodology/Human Factors Studies
  - ACT to Advise SAFE Management
  - Build Capacity to Connect to DIA
- Areas of Disagreement or Qualified Support
  - Re-defining SAFE
  - Interim SAFE Expansion V&V
  - COINS Link Early
  - Enlarged User Population Definition
  - ACT in a Superordinate Role
  - Delay

STATINTL Memo to: from:

Chairman, STAP

Subject: Comments on memo by Bruce Johnson, ODP 0-423, dated 4 April 1980

Here are some <u>very brief</u> comments, numbered according to the paragraphs they refer to.

- 1. Perhaps we should elaborate on the consequences of our recommendations.
- 2. This point has been addressed in a modification to the STAP options paper.
- 3. Agree, essentially; yes, the framework and the PILOT both needed. Also, they are not independent problems, quite the contrary.
- 4. We agree that ACT should not be given managerial authority, and did not recommend it.
- 5. Agree
- 6. Agree
- 7. We mean parallel work and progress, of course. Maybe IHC is obsolete if they cannot react or act in a timely way.
- 8. If we did not have confidence in the CIA management, we would recommend that SAFE stop, or that it be done somewhere else. We think a coordination of our recommendations with responsive management will work better, with little real delay in the long-run benefits to the Community and the country. Some of the decisions made by TRW or the Agency may turn out to be wrong or sub-optimal, just as some of STAP's detailed recommendations may; then, too, the hoped for and required flexibility may not be quite flexible enough. The time to find out is as soon as possible, not after the decisions have been frozen into a very large system with operational commitments that are difficult to change.